Engel-type subgroups in finite and profinite groups

Evgeny Khukhro

University of Lincoln, UK, and Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk

Ischia, 2016

Evgeny Khukhro (Lincoln–Novosibirsk) Engel-type subgroups in finite and profini

Joint work with Pavel Shumyatsky

Recall: a group G is an Engel group if for every $x, g \in G$,

$$[x,g,g,\ldots,g]=1,$$

where g is repeated sufficiently many times depending on x and g.

Recall: a group G is an Engel group if for every $x, g \in G$,

$$[x,g,g,\ldots,g]=1,$$

where g is repeated sufficiently many times depending on x and g. Notation: left-normed simple commutators

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_r] = [\ldots [[a_1, a_2], a_3], \ldots, a_r].$$

Recall: a group G is an Engel group if for every $x, g \in G$,

$$[x,g,g,\ldots,g]=1,$$

where g is repeated sufficiently many times depending on x and g. Notation: left-normed simple commutators

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_r] = [\ldots [[a_1, a_2], a_3], \ldots, a_r].$$

Clearly, any locally nilpotent group is an Engel group.

Recall: a group G is an Engel group if for every $x, g \in G$,

$$[x,g,g,\ldots,g]=1,$$

where g is repeated sufficiently many times depending on x and g. Notation: left-normed simple commutators

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_r] = [\ldots [[a_1, a_2], a_3], \ldots, a_r].$$

Clearly, any locally nilpotent group is an Engel group.

J. Wilson and E. Zelmanov, 1992

proved the converse for profinite groups: any Engel profinite group is locally nilpotent.

Engel-type subgroups

Definition

$$E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \ldots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$$

Image: A math a math

Engel-type subgroups

Definition $E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \dots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$

Remark: Note that this is not a subnormal subgroup, unlike the subgroups

$$G \supseteq [G,g] \supseteq [[G,g],g] \supseteq \cdots$$

Recall
$$E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \ldots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$$

Theorem 1

Suppose that G is a profinite group such that for every $g \in G$ there is a positive integer n = n(g) such that $E_n(g)$ is finite.

Recall
$$E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \ldots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$$

Theorem 1

Suppose that G is a profinite group such that for every $g \in G$ there is a positive integer n = n(g) such that $E_n(g)$ is finite. Then G has a finite normal subgroup N such that G/N is locally nilpotent.

Recall
$$E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \ldots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$$

Theorem 1

Suppose that G is a profinite group such that for every $g \in G$ there is a positive integer n = n(g) such that $E_n(g)$ is finite. Then G has a finite normal subgroup N such that G/N is locally nilpotent.

It also follows that there is an open locally nilpotent subgroup (just consider $C_G(N)$) — but this fact is actually one of the steps in the proof.

Finite groups: notation

Notation:

$$E(g)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}E_n(g)$$

Finite groups: notation

Notation:

$$E(g)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}E_n(g)$$

Notation: The nilpotent residual of a group G is

$$\gamma_{\infty}(G) = \bigcap_{i} \gamma_{i}(G),$$

where $\gamma_i(G)$ are terms of the lower central series ($\gamma_1(G) = G$, and $\gamma_{i+1}(G) = [\gamma_i(G), G]$).

Ischia, 2016 6 / 13

For finite groups there must be a quantitative analogue of the hypothesis that the subgroups $E_n(g)$ are finite.

For finite groups there must be a quantitative analogue of the hypothesis that the subgroups $E_n(g)$ are finite.

Theorem 2

Suppose that G is a finite group and there is a positive integer m such that $|E(g)| \leq m$ for every $g \in G$.

For finite groups there must be a quantitative analogue of the hypothesis that the subgroups $E_n(g)$ are finite.

Theorem 2

Suppose that G is a finite group and there is a positive integer m such that $|E(g)| \leq m$ for every $g \in G$. Then $|\gamma_{\infty}(G)|$ is bounded in terms of m.

For finite groups there must be a quantitative analogue of the hypothesis that the subgroups $E_n(g)$ are finite.

Theorem 2

Suppose that G is a finite group and there is a positive integer m such that $|E(g)| \leq m$ for every $g \in G$. Then $|\gamma_{\infty}(G)|$ is bounded in terms of m.

It also follows that |G : F(G)| is bounded in terms of *m* (just consider $C_G(\gamma_{\infty}(G))$.

For finite groups there must be a quantitative analogue of the hypothesis that the subgroups $E_n(g)$ are finite.

Theorem 2

Suppose that G is a finite group and there is a positive integer m such that $|E(g)| \leq m$ for every $g \in G$. Then $|\gamma_{\infty}(G)|$ is bounded in terms of m.

It also follows that |G : F(G)| is bounded in terms of m (just consider $C_G(\gamma_{\infty}(G))$.

Remark: Theorem 2 can be viewed as a generalization of Zorn's theorem that a finite Engel group is nilpotent.

Quantitative version for profinite groups

This theorem implies a similar result for profinite groups.

Corollary

Suppose that G is a profinite group and there is a positive integer m such that for every $g \in G$ there is n = n(g) such that $|E_n(g)| \leq m$.

Quantitative version for profinite groups

This theorem implies a similar result for profinite groups.

Corollary

Suppose that G is a profinite group and there is a positive integer m such that for every $g \in G$ there is n = n(g) such that $|E_n(g)| \leq m$. Then G has a finite normal subgroup N of order bounded in terms of m such that G/N is locally nilpotent.

Engel-type subgroups in length results: soluble groups

By Baer's theorem, any Engel element of a finite group belongs to its Fitting subgroup: if $[x, g, g, \dots, g] = 1$ for all $x \in G$, then $g \in F(G)$.

Engel-type subgroups in length results: soluble groups

By Baer's theorem, any Engel element of a finite group belongs to its Fitting subgroup: if $[x, g, g, \dots, g] = 1$ for all $x \in G$, then $g \in F(G)$.

Recall
$$E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \dots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$$

Engel-type subgroups in length results: soluble groups

By Baer's theorem, any Engel element of a finite group belongs to its Fitting subgroup: if $[x, g, g, \dots, g] = 1$ for all $x \in G$, then $g \in F(G)$.

Recall
$$E_n(g) = \langle [x, \underbrace{g, \dots, g}_n] \mid x \in G \rangle.$$

Theorem 3

If g is an element of a soluble finite group G such that $E_n(g)$ (for some n) has Fitting height k, then $g \in F_{k+1}(G)$.

The generalized Fitting height $h^*(G)$ of a finite group G is the least h such that $F_h^*(G) = G$, where $F_1^*(G) = F^*(G)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup, and by induction $F_{i+1}^*(G)/F_i^*(G) = F^*(G/F_i^*(G))$.

The generalized Fitting height $h^*(G)$ of a finite group G is the least h such that $F_h^*(G) = G$, where $F_1^*(G) = F^*(G)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup, and by induction $F_{i+1}^*(G)/F_i^*(G) = F^*(G/F_i^*(G))$.

Theorem 4

If g is an element of a finite group G such that $E_n(g)$ (for some n) has generalized Fitting height k, then $g \in F^*_{f(k,m)}(G)$, where m is the number of prime divisors of |g|.

The generalized Fitting height $h^*(G)$ of a finite group G is the least h such that $F_h^*(G) = G$, where $F_1^*(G) = F^*(G)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup, and by induction $F_{i+1}^*(G)/F_i^*(G) = F^*(G/F_i^*(G))$.

Theorem 4

If g is an element of a finite group G such that $E_n(g)$ (for some n) has generalized Fitting height k, then $g \in F^*_{f(k,m)}(G)$, where m is the number of prime divisors of |g|.

(In fact, f(k, m) = ((k+1)m(m+1)+2)(k+3)/2.)

The generalized Fitting height $h^*(G)$ of a finite group G is the least h such that $F_h^*(G) = G$, where $F_1^*(G) = F^*(G)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup, and by induction $F_{i+1}^*(G)/F_i^*(G) = F^*(G/F_i^*(G))$.

Theorem 4

If g is an element of a finite group G such that $E_n(g)$ (for some n) has generalized Fitting height k, then $g \in F^*_{f(k,m)}(G)$, where m is the number of prime divisors of |g|.

$$(\ln \text{ fact}, f(k,m) = ((k+1)m(m+1)+2)(k+3)/2.)$$

(Note that here we cannot write E(g) instead of $E_n(g)$, since these subgroups are not subnormal, and the properties can only be guaranteed to be inherited by subnormal subgroups.)

Ischia, 2016

10 / 13

The nonsoluble length $\lambda(G)$ of a finite group G is defined as the minimum number of nonsoluble factors in a normal series each of whose factors either is soluble or is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups.

The nonsoluble length $\lambda(G)$ of a finite group G is defined as the minimum number of nonsoluble factors in a normal series each of whose factors either is soluble or is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups.

Similarly to the generalized Fitting series, we can define terms of the 'upper nonsoluble series': $R_i(G)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of G that has nonsoluble length *i*.

The nonsoluble length $\lambda(G)$ of a finite group G is defined as the minimum number of nonsoluble factors in a normal series each of whose factors either is soluble or is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups.

Similarly to the generalized Fitting series, we can define terms of the 'upper nonsoluble series': $R_i(G)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of G that has nonsoluble length *i*.

Theorem 5

Let *m* and *n* be positive integers, and let *g* be an element of a finite group *G* whose order |g| is equal to the product of *m* primes counting multiplicities.

The nonsoluble length $\lambda(G)$ of a finite group G is defined as the minimum number of nonsoluble factors in a normal series each of whose factors either is soluble or is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups.

Similarly to the generalized Fitting series, we can define terms of the 'upper nonsoluble series': $R_i(G)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of G that has nonsoluble length *i*.

Theorem 5

Let m and n be positive integers, and let g be an element of a finite group G whose order |g| is equal to the product of m primes counting multiplicities. If the nonsoluble length of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then g belongs to $R_{g(k,m)}(G)$.

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

The nonsoluble length $\lambda(G)$ of a finite group G is defined as the minimum number of nonsoluble factors in a normal series each of whose factors either is soluble or is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups.

Similarly to the generalized Fitting series, we can define terms of the 'upper nonsoluble series': $R_i(G)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of G that has nonsoluble length *i*.

Theorem 5

Let m and n be positive integers, and let g be an element of a finite group G whose order |g| is equal to the product of m primes counting multiplicities. If the nonsoluble length of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then g belongs to $R_{g(k,m)}(G)$.

(In fact, g(k, m) = (k + 1)m(m + 1)/2.)

ヘロト 不得下 不足下 不足下

In the last two theorems the functions depend on the number of prime divisors of |g|.

In the last two theorems the functions depend on the number of prime divisors of |g|. We conjecture that this dependence can be eliminated. Moreover, we have quite precise conjectures (with best-possible bounds):

In the last two theorems the functions depend on the number of prime divisors of |g|. We conjecture that this dependence can be eliminated. Moreover, we have quite precise conjectures (with best-possible bounds):

Conjecture 1

Let g be an element of a finite group G, and n a positive integer. If the generalized Fitting height of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then $g \in F_{k+1}^*(G)$.

In the last two theorems the functions depend on the number of prime divisors of |g|. We conjecture that this dependence can be eliminated. Moreover, we have quite precise conjectures (with best-possible bounds):

Conjecture 1

Let g be an element of a finite group G, and n a positive integer. If the generalized Fitting height of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then $g \in F_{k+1}^*(G)$.

Conjecture 2

Let g be an element of a finite group G, and n a positive integer. If the nonsoluble length of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then $g \in R_k(G)$.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

In the last two theorems the functions depend on the number of prime divisors of |g|. We conjecture that this dependence can be eliminated. Moreover, we have quite precise conjectures (with best-possible bounds):

Conjecture 1

Let g be an element of a finite group G, and n a positive integer. If the generalized Fitting height of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then $g \in F_{k+1}^*(G)$.

Conjecture 2

Let g be an element of a finite group G, and n a positive integer. If the nonsoluble length of $E_n(g)$ is equal to k, then $g \in R_k(G)$.

(Again, we cannot write E(g) instead of $E_n(g)$, since these subgroups are not subnormal, and the properties can only be guaranteed to be inherited by subnormal subgroups.)

Ischia, 2016 12 / 13

Reduction of conjectures

Question

Let $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r$ be a direct product of nonabelian finite simple groups, and φ an automorphism of S transitively permuting the factors. Is it true that $E_n(\varphi) = S$ for any n?

Here
$$E_n(\varphi) = \langle [g, \underbrace{\varphi, \varphi, \dots, \varphi}_n] \mid g \in S \rangle.$$

Reduction of conjectures

Question

Let $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r$ be a direct product of nonabelian finite simple groups, and φ an automorphism of S transitively permuting the factors. Is it true that $E_n(\varphi) = S$ for any n?

Here
$$E_n(\varphi) = \langle [g, \underbrace{\varphi, \varphi, \dots, \varphi}_n] \mid g \in S \rangle.$$

Theorem 6

Conjectures 1 and 2 are true if the Question has an affirmative answer.

Reduction of conjectures

Question

Let $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r$ be a direct product of nonabelian finite simple groups, and φ an automorphism of S transitively permuting the factors. Is it true that $E_n(\varphi) = S$ for any n?

Here
$$E_n(\varphi) = \langle [g, \underbrace{\varphi, \varphi, \dots, \varphi}_n] \mid g \in S \rangle.$$

Theorem 6

Conjectures 1 and 2 are true if the Question has an affirmative answer.

Some progress was made for the Question in the case where $|\varphi|$ is a prime by Robert Guralnick (unpublished).